

Planning Committee (Major Applications) B

Wednesday 14 June 2023

6.30 pm

Ground Floor Meeting Room G02A - 160 Tooley Street, London SE1
2QH

Supplemental Agenda

List of Contents

Item No.	Title	Page No.
7.	Development Management	1 - 69

Contact

Gregory Weaver on 020 7525 3667 or email: greg.weaver@southwark.gov.uk

Webpage: www.southwark.gov.uk

Date: 14 June 2023

Item No: 7.1 & 7.2	Classification: Open	Date: 14 June 2023	Meeting Name: Planning Committee (Major Applications) B
Report title: Address:	Addendum report Late observations and further information		
Ward(s) or groups affected:	St Giles & Old Kent Road		
From:	Director of Planning and Growth		

PURPOSE

1. To advise members of observations, consultation responses and further information received in respect of the following planning applications on the main agenda. These were received after the preparation of the report and the matters raised may not therefore have been taken in to account in reaching the recommendation stated.

RECOMMENDATION

2. That members note and consider the late observations and information received in respect of each item in reaching their decision.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

3. Late observations, information and revisions have been received in respect of the following planning applications on the main agenda:

Item 7.1: 22/AP/1603 - 18-22 Penarth Street, London SE15 1TX

Consultation responses from third parties

4. Diespeker maintains its objections to the scheme and to further state that there are three grounds namely: (i) noise; (ii) overlooking and overshadowing; and (iii) Diespeker future development. These matters have been dealt with, in the main report and further negotiations and discussions have been taking place right up to today to deal with noise and the imposition of amended wording for condition 35 and three additional conditions as suggested by the Council's EPT officer who will be available at the committee meeting to answer members' questions. The issue of overlooking has been assessed and consider there would not be an amenity issue to users of the yard. BRE guidelines state that they may be applied to any existing non-domestic building where the occupants have a reasonable expectation of daylight. This would normally include schools, hospital, hotels and hostels, small workshops and some offices. The applicant has not applied the BRE test to the

Diespeker building. The guidance is that it may be applied but is not required to be applied. Officers are of the view that given the relationship of the new development to the existing yard space and ancillary office that the scheme is unlikely to adversely affect the premises by virtue of daylight or sunlight/overshadowing, particularly when considering the assessed effects of the scheme on 180 Ilderton Road. The issue of Diespeker's future development for its commercial on-site operations is dealt with as part of the Agent of Change assessment.

5. Diespeker has planning permission for Demolition of existing external metal hut and other storage buildings, in addition to the removal of asbestos roof to single storey part of existing factory. Extension of the existing gantry crane, and the creation a part ground floor and first floor extension for additional factory, storage and staff facilities, with the inclusion of external works to the principle elevations of the building under LPA reference 21/AP/1619 dated 16/03/2022. This information was not included within the main report. Diespeker wish to make it clear that the signing of its 15 years new lease and the grant of the planning permission makes it clear that it will not be looking to redevelop its site as a co-located development which is incorrectly stated within the main report. Diespeker also voiced concern that the room layout as proposed in the scheme should not be able to be redesigned so that more sensitive uses or the accommodation become self-contained or privately owned without a single management plan as advocated. The layout of uses and rooms as shown on the submitted drawings and plans that are being formally considered this evening is the design that officers are recommending for approval and only for that reason. Condition one which sets out the approved plans, drawings and layouts would be the relevant planning condition.

6. MVT has set out concerns for Ormside Projects which state that the comments are neutral and should not have been seen as supporting the application. There is concern that the provision of new residential accommodation in the area may result in noise complaints against the Project's music venue and as such would be contrary to Agent of Change policy principles. MVT further stated that its operations were not identified within the applicant's NIA report and as such, is flawed and should be done again and for the Project's premises to be included. It has been mistakenly reported that an additional NIA has been submitted during the life of this application submission. This has not been done. The applicant has been negotiating with the Council suitable conditions to better insulate the development acoustically. It is considered that the most noise sensitive premises is Diespeker and once that matter is satisfactorily addressed then there should be no compromise on the operations of other commercial properties in the vicinity. It should also be noted that in spite of the project having a license to allow it to operate under licensing laws it does not appear that there is an extant planning permission for the use of its premises for such use.

TfL comments

Maintains its objections to the scheme as it set out in the Mayors GLA stage 1 letter. LBS officers consider that the matters raised can be dealt with via condition and that the S106 transport contributions satisfactorily mitigate the development.

Play space provision

7. This is been updated and improved to ensure that there is now policy compliant play space provision for children along with policy compliant communal amenity space.

Cycle parking

	Policy Requirement Long-Stay Cycle Parking	Policy Requirement Short-Stay Cycle Parking	Split of Stand Types Proposed	Split of Stand Types Policy Requirement
Co-living	282 proposed. 283 required. -1 overall.	6 proposed. 37 required. -31 overall.	76 Sheffield 26.9% 4 Accessible 1.4% 202 2-Tier 71.6%	20% Sheffield 5% Accessible 75% Max 2-Tier
Affordable Housing	88 proposed spaces. 89 required spaces. -1 overall.		14 Sheffield 15.9% 2 Accessible 2.2% 72 2-Tier 80.8%	20% Sheffield 5% Accessible 75% Max 2-Tier
Workspace	20 proposed spaces. 17 required. +3 overall.	4 proposed spaces. 17 required. -13 overall.	3 Sheffield 17% 1 Accessible 4% 16 2-Tier 83%	10-12% Sheffield 3-5% Accessible 85% Max 2-Tier

Planning conditions related to 22/AP/1603

8. Update to conditions 1 (Approved plans) and 35 and an additional 3 conditions as negotiated with the Council's EPT officer to be included in the decision notice. Condition 1 to be amended to include these drawings:
- Landscape plan overlay – extended play area (drawing ref: CGL-Z0-09-DR-A-PL0024 revision P)

- Landscape plan overlay – additional extensive green roof area (drawing ref: CGL-Z0-09-DR-A-PL0023 revision P)

Condition 35 (amended)

The dwellings hereby permitted shall be designed to ensure that the following internal noise levels are not exceeded due to environmental noise: Bedrooms - 35dB LAeq T†, 30 dB L Aeq T*, 45dB LAFmax T* Living and Dining rooms (including communal rooms) - 35dB LAeq T † * - Night-time - 8 hours between 23:00-07:00 with 45 dB LAm_{max,F} (2 minute) which is not typically exceeded more than 10 times per night. † - Daytime - 16 hours between 07:00-23:00 Blocks A and B West Façade and Block C East Façade: Bedrooms - 25dB LAeq T# 45dB LAFmax T * Living and Dining rooms (including communal rooms) - 25dB LAeq T~ # Worst case 1 hour specific noise level at any time ~ Daytime worst case 1 hour specific noise level between 07:00-23:00 Following completion of the development and prior to occupation, a validation test shall be carried out on a relevant sample of premises. The results shall be submitted to the LPA for approval in writing alongside the details and specification of the installed scheme of insulation. Once approved the scheme shall be permanently maintained thereafter.

Reason: To ensure that the occupiers and users of the development do not suffer a loss of amenity by reason of excess noise from environmental and transportation in accordance with the Southwark Plan 2022 Policy P56 (Protection of amenity); Policy and to ensure that existing commercial uses in the areas are not unreasonably constrained in accordance with the NPPF 'Agent of Change' principle (NPPF Paragraph 187).

Additional conditions 54, 55 and 56:

54. Proposed management plan condition: Prior to the occupation of the development a Noise Management Plan (NMP) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The NMP shall set out how any noise complaints from residents of the managed co-living units will be dealt with, in the event they occur, to suitably protect the interests of commercial uses in the area, including the adjacent Diespeker site.

Reason: To ensure that existing commercial uses in the areas are not unreasonably constrained in accordance with the NPPF 'Agent of Change' principle (NPPF Paragraph 187).

55. Prior to the commencement of the development above ground floor slab level, further assessment of commercial/industrial noise from Diespeker shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The assessment shall also detail final planned mitigation measures (including glazing and sound insulation performance data) expected to achieve internal sound criteria listed in condition 35.

Reason: To ensure that existing commercial uses in the areas are not unreasonably constrained in accordance with the NPPF 'Agent of Change' principle (NPPF Paragraph 187).

56. Proposed Noise Assessment Prior to the commencement of the development above ground floor slab level, further assessment of commercial/industrial noise from Diespeker shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The assessment shall also detail final planned mitigation measures (including glazing, ventilation and air cooling detail and sound insulation performance data) expected to achieve internal sound criteria listed in condition 35.

Reason To ensure that existing commercial uses in the areas are not unreasonably constrained in accordance with the NPPF 'Agent of Change' principle (NPPF Paragraph 187)

Conclusion of the Director of Planning and Growth

9. Having taken into account the additional information, following consideration of the issues raised, the recommendation remains that planning permission should be granted, subject to conditions as amended in this Addendum report and completion of a s106 agreement

Item 7.2: 21/AP/1254 and 21/AP/1255 - 5-7 Cottage Green And 69 Southampton Way, LONDON, SE5 7ST

Commercial floor areas related to 21/AP/1254

10. Update paragraphs 56 and 57 of the main report to reflect a marginal increase in the 383.1sqm of E(g) floorspace to 394.3 sqm.

Play space contribution

11. Update paragraph 84 of the main report to include clarification that the proposal for 183sqm of play space would meet the needs of children aged between 0 to 4 and 5 to 10 only. This exceeds the minimum play space requirement of 171.2sqm of play space for these age groups. However, the proposal would deliver a shortfall of 36.8sqm of play space for 11 to 15 year olds and a shortfall of 16.8sqm of play space for 16 to 18 year olds, in accordance with Southwark's Section 106 Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) (amended November 2020).
12. Update to the planning obligations table under paragraph 185 of the main report to include a financial contribution of £8,093.60 to mitigate a shortfall in play space, as referenced above. This is based on a cost of £151 per square metre of shortfall. This is sought to cover the average cost in the Borough for improving play space. This would be secured through the S106.

Planning conditions related to 21/AP/1254

13. Update to draft condition 1 (Approved plans) to include the latest revision P5 of Block A Ground Floor Plan and Block B Ground Floor Plan. Both plans are dated 24/05/2023.
14. Update to draft condition 3 (Detailed plans for sufficient ducting space for full fibre connectivity infrastructure) to revise the pre-commencement trigger wording to exclude demolition.
15. Update to draft condition 10 (Secured by Design) to revise the trigger wording to clarify that details are required to be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority prior to the commencement of any above grade works.
16. Update to draft condition 16 (BREEAM) to clarify that BREEAM requirements relate to the commercial units only.
17. Update to draft condition 21 (Commercial floor areas) to reflect marginal changes to the split of commercial floorspace. The revised condition would secure 394.3 sqm of class E (g) (iii) purposes only, and 108.3sqm of flexible class E (a), (c), (e), (g) and class F (b), (c), (d) and (e) purposes only.
18. Update to draft condition 26 (Drainage strategy) to include reference to Drainage Strategy Addendum 02.
19. Update to draft conditions 27 (Cycle parking) and 28 (Refuse storage) and to revise the ground floor plan drawing references to revision 5. The updated references should read GA-P-A-L00-100-P5 and GA-P-B-L00-100-P5.
20. Update to draft condition 31 (Consented development) to remove reference to AOD. The building heights are measured from ground level.

Planning conditions related to 21/AP/1255

21. Update to condition 1 (Approved plans) to include the latest revision P5 of Block A Ground Floor Plan and Block B Ground Floor Plan. Both plans are dated 24/05/2023. In addition, the following plans are to be added to condition 1:

- Demolition Plan Listed Building Proposed Condition-Front Elevation (drawing ref: DP_PC_EL)
- Demolition Plan Listed Building Proposed Condition Ground Floor Plan (drawing ref: DP_PC_00)

Conclusion of the Director of Planning and Growth

22. Having taken into account the additional information, following consideration of the issues raised, the recommendation remains that planning permission should be granted, subject to conditions as amended in this Addendum report and completion of a s106 agreement.

REASON FOR URGENCY

23. Applications are required by statute to be considered as speedily as possible. The application has been publicised as being on the agenda for consideration at this meeting of the Planning Committee and applicants and objectors have been invited to attend the meeting to make their views known. Deferral would delay the processing of the applications and would inconvenience all those who attend the meeting.

REASON FOR LATENESS

24. The new information and corrections to the main report and recommendation have been noted and/or received since the committee agenda was printed. They all relate to an item on the agenda and Members should be aware of the comments made.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Individual files	Environment, Neighbourhoods & Growth Department 160 Tooley Street London SE1 2QH	Planning enquiries telephone: 020 7525 5403

Welcome to Southwark Planning Committee B Majors Applications

14 June 2023

MAIN ITEMS OF BUSINESS

Item 6.0
Section 106-Funding from the Leathermarket
Gardens.

Item 6.1 – 21/AP/1254 & 21/AP/1255
5-7 COTTAGE GREEN AND 69 SOUTHAMPTON WAY

Item 6.2 – 22/AP/1603
18-22 PENARTH STREET, LONDON, SE15 1TX



Councillor Richard Livingstone
(Chair)



Councillor Kath Whittam
(Vice Chair)



Councillor Emily Tester



Councillor Ellie Cumbo



Councillor Sam Foster



Councillor Jon Hartley



Councillor Portia Mwangangye

The **PLANNING**
AWARDS 2019
Celebrating excellence in planning and placemaking

WINNER

Southwark Free
Wi-Fi Password
Fr33Wifi!

Item 6.0 - SECTION 106

To release £107,558.14 of Section 106 funding from the Leathermarket Gardens Contribution for design and delivery of improvements to Leathermarket Gardens.

6

Item 6.1

21/AP/1254

5-7 COTTAGE GREEN AND 69 SOUTHAMPTON WAY, LONDON,
SE5 7ST

Demolition of existing structures, including removal and alterations to the flank elevation of grade II listed no. 73 Southampton Way, and construction of two buildings fronting onto Southampton Way and Cottage Green comprising residential units and commercial units for Class E and F uses, associated roof terraces, landscaping and public realm enhancements, refuse storage, and cycle and car parking. The proposal would be within the setting of the grade II listed buildings 1, 2 and 3 Cottage Green and 73,75 and 77 Southampton Way.

21/AP/1255

Listed building consent application for the demolition and external alterations to parts within the site that are attached to the flank elevation of grade II listed no. 73 Southampton Way.

Site Location Plan



11

Aerial photograph of site



Existing Photographs



Existing Photographs



14

Local Development Study



Consultation Responses

Neighbours consulted via letter	Site notice date of display	Press notice date of publication	Public comments received	Support	Neutral	Objection
13.05.2021 & 31.05.2022	19.05.2021	12.05.2022	49	0	2	47

Second round of consultation in 2022 following design amendments including:

- Reduction in height, scale and massing and number of floors of block B from 7 storeys to 6 storeys.
- Reduction in number and mix of residential units by 5 for total of 50 units proposed.
- Amendments to internal layouts of flats and associated amendments to window and balcony arrangements.
- Reduction in commercial floorspace by 14.6sqm.
- Revised layout to proposed public realm, landscaping and parking arrangements.
- Revised technical documents and assessments have been submitted to support the proposed amendments.

Proposed Uses

Use class	Existing sqm	Proposed sqm	Change +/-
Use Class B8	381sqm	0	-381
Use Class E (g)	0	394.3	+394.3
Flexible E and F	0	108.3	+108.3
Use Class C3	0	4,808.4	+4,808.4 (50 homes)
Affordable workspace Use Class E (g)	0	50	+50

17

Housing mix and affordable housing


Homes	Private Homes	Private Habitable room (HR)	Aff.SR Homes	Aff.SR HR	Aff.Int Homes	Aff.Int HR	Homes Total	HR Total
Studio	1	1	0	0	0	0	1 (2%)	1
1 bed	9	18	4	8	1	2	14 (28%)	28
2 bed	18	63	1	3	3	12	22 (44%)	78
3 bed	6	28	7	35	0	0	13 (26%)	63
Total	34 (68%)	110 (64.7%)	12 (24%)	46 (27%)	4 (8%)	14 (8.2%)	50 -	170 -

18

Proposed Site Layout Plan




Block A Ground Floor Plan



20

Block B Ground Floor Plan



Nearby Heritage Assets




Heritage Impacts



23

Listed Building Amendments



Remains of No.71 Southampton Way
- Slender 'nib' removal is proposed




Public Realm, Amenity Space and Play Space



Planting Strategy



GROUND FLOOR



-  Street rain garden planting
-  Play area planting
-  Climbers



TERRACES

-  Terrace planting

Amenity Impacts: Overlooking, Privacy and Outlook



Amenity Impacts: Daylight and Sunlight




Daylight and Sunlight - VSC For Neighbouring Development

Address	Total windows assessed	Total meeting BRE guide	Total below BRE criteria			Total windows affected
			Reduction of between 21 to 30% (Minor)	Reduction of between 31 to 40 % (Moderate)	Reduction of more than 40% (Major)	
49 to 65 Southampton Way Non-residential	71	26	2	3	40	45
73 Southampton Way	10	1	2	2	5	9
75 to 77 Southampton Way	34	29	1	3	1	5
79 Southampton Way	22	21	1	0	0	1
5 Claremont Villas	10	9	1	0	0	1
4 Claremont Villas	13	11	2	0	0	2
3 Claremont Villas	10	9	1	0	0	1
2 Claremont Villas	15	14	1	0	0	1
3 Cottage Green	21	20	0	1	0	1
2 Cottage Green	15	14	0	0	1	1
9 to 11 Cottage Green Non-residential	38	28	1	3	6	10
Block J Burgess Business Park (Future Building)	128	109	13	5	1	19
Block E Burgess Industrial Park (Future Building)	57	53	4	0	0	4

29

Daylight and Sunlight – 73 Southampton Way



30

Daylight and sunlight - NSL for Neighbouring Development

Address	Total rooms assessed	Total meeting BRE guide	Total below BRE criteria			Total room affected
			Ratio loss of between 0.79 to 0.70 (Minor)	Ratio loss of between 0.69 to 0.60 (Moderate)	Ratio loss of more than 0.60 (Major)	
75 to 77 Southampton Way	24	23	0	0	1	1
3 Cottage Green	11	10	0	0	1	1
8 Cottage Green	3	2	1	0	0	1
6 Cottage Green	4	1	0	1	2	3

Views



Street View of Proposed Scheme



32

Views



Street View of Proposed Scheme



33

Views



Street View of Proposed Scheme



34

Views



35

Views



Street View of Proposed Scheme



Summary of report

- The principle of residential and commercial uses are acceptable in this location.
- Policy compliant affordable housing and affordable workspace provision.
- Re-provision of employment floorspace.
- Business re-location strategy for existing B8 use.
- Revised scheme to address design issues.
- High quality design that achieves active frontages and permeability and acceptable in height bulk and scale
- Heritage harm is outweighed by public benefit
- No unacceptable harm on the amenity of neighbouring occupiers.
- Highway improvement works are proposed to ensure routes and access onto site are safe, inclusive and meet the needs of all pedestrians.
- 24 new trees, including 7 street trees, 12 trees within the public realm at ground floor and 5 trees on the upper floor communal amenity terraces.
- Provision of green infrastructure including planting, green roofs and bat and bird boxes. An urban greening factor (UGF) of 0.40 would be achieved.
- The proposal would achieve 60% on site carbon saving for residential and 25% on site carbon savings for non-residential development. BREEAM score of 77%.
- Having regard to all the policies considered and any other material planning considerations, it is recommended that planning permission is granted subject to conditions and the completion of a legal agreement.


Item 6.2

22/AP/1603


18-22 PENARTH STREET, LONDON, SE15 1TX

Demolition of existing buildings and construction of a mixed-use part 8, part 9, part 10 storey building comprising of 4,195sqm of light industrial (Class E(g)(iii) use), studio and office (Class E(g)(i and ii) use) workspaces at ground and mezzanine floor levels with 283 co-living studios and supporting ∞ amenity facilities (Sui Generis use) and 47 (36.55% Affordable by habitable rooms) residential homes (Class C3 use) above, together with servicing arrangements, cycle parking, external amenity spaces, landscaping and associated works.


Site Location



Site Location




Typologies Map OKR16: Application Site Red Outline



41

Typologies Map OKR16: Application Site Red Outline



Sub area 4 – OKR16. App site in red outline



Building Heights OKR AAP



Proposed Land Uses



45

Proposed Land Use floor space

Land Use	Use Class	GIA
Residential	C3	15,865sqm
Commercial	E	4,195sqm

46

Commercial floor space Existing and Proposed

	Existing Commercial Gross Internal Area	Proposed Commercial Gross Internal Area
Ground Floor	2,081 sqm	2,361 sqm
Mezzanine Floor	759 sqm	1,834 sqm
First Floor	611 sqm	-
Church (Temporary Use)	346 sqm	-
Total	3,797 sqm	4,195 sqm

Workspace

- 10% Affordable workspace

Mezzanine Floor layout



Co-living Accommodation Schedule

Block A	Standard Studios	Accessible Studios
	20 sqm	29 sqm
Level 01	19	4
Level 02	19	4
Level 03	19	4
Level 04	19	4
Level 05	19	4
Level 06	19	4
Level 07	8	4
Level 08	10	4
Totals	132	32
Block B	Standard Studios	Accessible Studios
	20 sqm	29 sqm
Level 01	8	-
Level 02	25	-
Level 03	21	-
Level 04	21	-
Level 05	21	-
Level 06	21	-
Totals	117	-

Block C: Affordable Housing

Block C	1-bedroom 2-person	2-bedroom 4-person	3-bedroom 4-person	3-bedroom 5-person	3-bedroom 6-person
Level 01	2	3	1	1	
Level 02	2	3	-	2	
Level 03	2	3	-	2	
Level 04	2	3	-	2	
Level 05	2	3	-	2	
Level 06	-	3	-	2	1
Level 07	-	3	-	2	1
Totals	10	21	1	13	2

52

Affordable Housing Mix

Tenure	1 bed 2p	2 beds 4p	3 beds 4p/5p/6p	TOTAL
Social rented homes	6	15	12	33
Intermediate Homes	4	6	4	14
TOTAL	10	21	16	47

53

Podium Floor Layout: Co-living and Affordable



54

Podium plus 1 Floor Layout: Co-Living and Affordable



55

Typical Floor Layout: Co-living and Affordable



56

Podium plus 7 Floor Layout: Co-living and Affordable



57

Co-Living Accommodation


- Meets GLA best practice guidance
- Located in a well-connected area for local services and employment by walking, cycling and public transport
- Single management
- Units are for rent with minimum tenancy lengths no less than 3 months
- Sufficient Communal facilities and services are provided for the residents' needs
- The private units provide adequate functional living space and layout
- A management plan is provided

58

Affordable Housing residential quality


- Meets minimum space standards
- Meets private, communal and play space requirements
- 15% dual aspect units (all 3 beds)

Birds Eye View: Landscaped Areas



60

Play area: Block C Roof Terrace




61

Play and communal space: Proposed

	Required	Proposed
0-4 years	187 sqm	187 sqm
5-11 years	144 sqm	144 sqm
12+ years	103 sqm	103 sqm
Communal Space	118 sqm	763 sqm

Section Through Podium Floor



CGI View From Hatcham Road/Penarth Street Junction



S106 Financial Contributions

CIL (pre-relief) and S106 contributions

SCIL (estimated)	£3,419,837.95
MCIL (estimated)	£1,048,476.35

65

Objections areas of concern

- Noise
- Agent of Change
- Building Heights
- Car and cycle parking

Support

- Mixed use nature of scheme
- Co-location
- Added vitality and activity: social and economic
- Sympathetic to the aims of the OKR AAP
- Re-instating light industrial floorspace with a good local employer as anchor for the commercial development
- Affordable workspace provision
- Exemplary co-location development

Noise/Acoustics

- Acoustic report to appropriate BS standard submitted to assess development by applicant
- EPT has reviewed and confirmed the acoustic report is sufficient to assess the impacts of neighbouring uses on this development and vice versa
- EPT has objected on grounds of design
- EPT has recommended suitable conditions that will ensure a higher quality of acoustic insulation so the development can meet agent of change concerns.
- A condition requiring some windows in Block A to be locked shut
- Internal conditions of co-living accommodation would require mechanical ventilation
- The conventional affordable housing accommodation is not adversely affected.

Conclusion

- Notwithstanding EPT and neighbouring objections, the scheme on balance is recommended for approval due to its significant regeneration benefits
- This does result in the compromise of the quality of some of the co-living accommodation but this ensures that the adjacent commercial uses are not compromised (Agent of Change)
- Exemplary co-location development including significant contribution to the borough's housing stock & improvement to existing commercial space as advocated in the draft OKR AAP;
- 36.55% affordable housing.
- Good quality internal amenity and external amenity spaces including well designed childrens' play space
- Sustainable development: 56% on-site carbon measures; car free development; public realm enhancements
- High quality architecture
- Significant CIL and S016 contributions financial and non-financial to satisfactorily mitigate the development
- Recommend approval subject to conditions, the S106 agreement and referral to the Mayor